# The Ocean in near Equilibrium with Respect to Atmospheric CH<sub>3</sub>Br

### Lei Hu, Shari Yvon-Lewis, Yina Liu, Thomas S. Bianchi

Acknowledgements:

•Dr. Jim Butler and Dr. Steve Montzka

- •National Science Foundation (NSF)
  - OCE-0927874.

•The captains and crews of the R/V Thomas Thompson and FS Polarstern.





#### A Net Sink for Atmospheric CH<sub>3</sub>Br in the East Pacific Ocean

Jürgen M. Lobert, James H. Butler, Stephen A. Montzka, Laurie S. Geller, Richard C. Myers, James W. Elkins

#### BLAST I, 1994 Published in Science in 1995







### **Phase-Out**





Atmospheric Observations from NOAA/ESRL Global Monitoring Division



# **Buffering Effect of the Ocean**

GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. 21, NO. 3, PAGES 185-188, FEBRUARY 1, 1994

#### The potential role of the ocean in regulating atmospheric CH3Br

#### James H. Butler

Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, Colorado



Fig. 2. Steady-state change in atmospheric mixing ratio (ppt) resulting from a changes in anthropogenic emission rate (Gmol y<sup>-1</sup>). Curves are calculated from equation 12, with the current best estimates for  $k_x$ ,  $k_1$ , and  $k_2$  as given in Table 1, and allowing  $k_0$  to vary from 0 to  $\infty$  (R = 0 to 1). The curve for  $k_0 = 0$  represents no oceanic loss, whereas for  $k_0 = \infty$  the oceanic degradation rate is infinitely fast.

Ocean should become less undersaturated as atmospheric concentration decreases



## **Recent Models Predicted Less Negative** Saturation State



1996:

 $\Delta$ (%) = -11.7% Net sea-to-air flux: -14 Gg yr<sup>-1</sup>

#### 2007:

∆(%) = -6.0% Net sea-to-air flux: -6.6 Gg yr<sup>-1</sup>



Yvon-Lewis et al., 2009, ACP

#### Halocarbon Air-Sea Transect s– Pacific and Atlantic



Hu, et al., Submitted, GBC



### CH<sub>3</sub>Br data from BLAST I and HalocAST-P

BLAST I	(1) Open Ocean
BLAST II	(2) Coastal and nearshore
HalocAST-P	(3) Equatorial upwelling
HalocAST-A	(4) Inland passage



Hu, et al., Submitted, GBC



### CH<sub>3</sub>Br data from BLAST II and HalocAST-A





Hu, et al., Submitted, GBC



### **Global Extrapolation**

Region	Area Weighting Factor	Δ <sub>CH3Br</sub> (%)	Flux (Gg yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Production (Gg yr <sup>-1</sup> )
Open Ocean	0.8	0.3	-0.05	1.2 X 10 <sup>2</sup>
Coastal	0.1	29.5	2.6	15
Upwelling	0.1	2.4	0.09	21
Global (HalocAST)		3.4	2.6	1.5 X 10 <sup>2</sup>
Global (BLAST)		-15.7	-12.6	1.5 X 10 <sup>2</sup>

## Problems with global extrapolation of fluxes:

 Extrapolated fluxes may be biased by regional saturation anomalies or regional in-situ wind speeds.

Hu, et al., Submitted, GBC



### $\Delta$ (%) - SST relationships before the phase-out is no longer valid





24

King et al., 2002

NOAA/ESRL GMAC 2012

Hu, et al., Submitted, GBC

## Net Flux (F) = Emission (E) – Uptake (U)



## **Biological Degradation Rate Constants**



No significant difference between degradation rate constants observed in the northeastern Atlantic during GasEx 98 and HalocAST-A.
Global mean biological degradation rate constant = 0.05 (±0.01 S.E.) d<sup>-1</sup>.

Hu, et al., Submitted, GBC



#### 1° x 1° Ocean Model

#### The old 1° x 1° Ocean Model (e.g. Yvon and Butler, 1996; King et al., 2002; Yvon-Lewis et al., 2009)

• Used Wanninkhof [1992].

 Did not include separate coastal rates and used only open ocean values for production rates, biological degradation rate constants, etc.

#### **Revised 1º x 1º Ocean Model**

✓ Uses Sweeney et al. [2007].

✓ Includes rates for both the coastal and open ocean regions.



### **Before the CH<sub>3</sub>Br Phase-Out**

	Emission (Gg/y)	Uptake Rate (Gg/y)	Net Flux (Gg/y)
Global Ocean (W92)	42	-56	-14
Global Ocean (S07)	31	-41	-10
Global Ocean (S07 +)	34.2	-41.1	-6.9
Coastal Ocean	4.6	-2.4	2.2
Open Ocean	29.6	-38.7	-9.1
G 60 N 30 N 0 30 S 60 S	lobal Net Flux D	istribution (Gg/y)	$x 10^{3}$ 2 1.5 1 0.5 0 0 - 0.5

Hu, et al., Submitted, GBC

60 S -

90 S -

150 W

90 W

NOAA/ESRL GMAC 2012

30 E

90 E

150 E

30'W



-1

### At the End of the non-QPS Phaseout (2010)

	Emission (Gg/y)	Uptake Rate (Gg/y)	Net Flux (Gg/y)
Global Ocean (Cruise Data Extrapolation)	?	?	<u>2.6</u>
Coastal Ocean	?	?	2.6
Open Ocean	?	?	0
Global Ocean (Model)	34.2	-31.5	<u>2.7</u>
Coastal Ocean	4.6	-0.8	3.8
Open Ocean	29.6	-30.7	-1.1

Hu, et al., Submitted, GBC



# **Summary and Conclusions**

- Atmospheric methyl bromide concentrations measured during the recent cruises are consistent with the NOAA flask network data.
- The degradation rate constants measured in 2010 are consistent with previous measurements.
- The observed global mean saturation anomaly increased to ~3.4%
- The oceans became a small net source of CH<sub>3</sub>Br to the atmosphere with a global mean flux of ~3 Gg/y



## **Differences Between Coastal-Oceanic Areas and Open-Oceanic Areas**



#### Production Rates:

Mean production rate in coastal areas of HalocAST and GOMECC: 0.62 nmol m<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>.

Global open-ocean production rate: 0.15 nmol m<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (Yvon-Lewis et al., 2004)

• Biological degradation rate constant

Coastal ocean (King et al., 1997): 0.09 d<sup>-1</sup>

Global open ocean mean: 0.05 d<sup>-1</sup>

#### Cruise Track of GOMECC (Hu, et al., 2010, GBC)

#### **Atmospheric Methyl Bromide Budget (Gg yr<sup>-1</sup>)**

	1996 - 1998	Range	2008	Range
SOURCES				
Fumigation - dispersive (soils)	41.5	28.1 to 55.6	6.5	4.6 to 9.0
Fumigation - quarantine/pre-shipment	7.9	7.4 to 8.5	7.6	7.1 to 8.1
Ocean	42	34 to 49	42	34 to 49
Biomass Burning	29	10 to 40	29	10 to 40
Leaded Gasoline	5.7	4.0 to 7.4	<5.7	
Temperature peatlands	0.6	-0.1 to 1.3	0.6	-0.1 to 1.3
Rice Paddies	0.7	0.1 to 1.7	0.7	0.1 to 1.7
Coastal Salt Marshes	7	0.6 to 14	7	0.6 to 14
Mangroves	1.3	1.2 - 1.3	1.3	1.2 - 1.3
Shrublands	0.2	0 to 1	0.2	0 to 1
Rapeseed	4.9	3.8 to 5.8	5.1	4.0 to 6.1
Fungus (Litter Decay)	1.7	0.5 to 5.2	1.7	0.5 to 5.2
Fungus (Leaf-cutter Ants)	0.5		0.5	
Subtotal (Sources)	143		111.5	
SINKS				
Ocean	56	49 to 64	<b>49</b>	45 to 52
OH and Photolysis	77		63.6	
Soils	40	23 to 56	32	19 to 44
Subtotal (Sinks)	177		147.6	
<b>Total (SOURCES - SINKS)</b>	-34 NOAA/ESRL GMAC 201	2	-36.1	

Montzka and Reimann et al., 2011

#### **Pigments that correlated with seawater CH<sub>3</sub>Br during 13 to 25 °S**



- Elevated CH<sub>3</sub>Br was associated with two main algal groups, prymnesiophytes and dinoflagellates.
- *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Phaeocystis sp.* can produce CH<sub>3</sub>Br at a significant rate.
- It is likely that elevated  $CH_3Br$  was at least partly associated with *phaeocystis sp.*, which were grazed by zooplankton, or at the senescent stage or underwent autolysis.