## Characterizing and Comparing Anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> Sources in the DJ Basin using Mobile Surveys

C. Fougere<sup>1</sup>, E. Atherton<sup>1</sup>, E. Bourlon<sup>1</sup>, D. Risk<sup>1</sup>, O.A. Sherwood<sup>2</sup> and B.H. Vaughn<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, Nova Scotia, Canada; 902-521-0189, E-mail: cfougere@stfx.ca <sup>2</sup>Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR), University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309

Vehicle-based atmospheric surveys must be able to distinguish between source types in complex multi-use landscapes, and must also be sensitive to spatiotemporal changes in the ambient concentrations of naturally occurring gases. We performed 3,700 km of vehicle-based surveys with a Picarro Surveyor CRDS in the Denver-Julesburg (DJ) Basin during the summer of 2014 to compare emissions from different methane sources. During these surveys, we collected more than 500,000 geo-located multi-gas (carbon dioxide [CO<sub>2</sub>], methane [CH<sub>4</sub>], water vapor [H<sub>2</sub>O], delta 13-methane [ $\delta^{13}$ CH<sub>4</sub>]) measurements. We used super-ambient ratios of CO<sub>2</sub>:CH<sub>4</sub> to detect CH<sub>4</sub> plumes and geospatial analysis to attribute the emissions to potential known sources. Based on wind direction and a threshold distance of 300 m, a total of 784 known infrastructure units were sampled on more than one occasion. Of the 2,524 CH<sub>4</sub>-rich plumes (eCO<sub>2</sub>:eCH<sub>4</sub> < 100), 954 (38%) were attributed to known local sources within 300 m of roadside. Though composting facilities and gas processing plants had the highest emission frequencies (25% and 20%, respectively). These data are used to characterize the geochemical signature associated with each source type, as well as to compare emission rates to existing inventories. These data can ideally be used to inform policy and practice aimed at curbing greenhouse gas emissions and improving local air quality.



**Figure 1. a)** A density plot of the ratio of excess  $CO_2$  to excess  $CH_4$  reveals the most common  $CH_4$ -rich geochemical signatures observed during the survey campaign. The ambient ratio of excess  $CO_2$  to excess  $CH_4$  is marked by the minor isolated peak and blue line at 215. **b**) Locations of the  $CH_4$ -rich geochemical ratios marked on the previous plot are layered to form a heat map of  $CH_4$  emissions.